UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,132	05/31/2007	Stefan Trumpi	BR P1003 US	1392
37138 THADDIUS J.		0	EXAMINER	
102 NORTH K			MAYO-PINNOCK, TARA LEIGH	
LEESDUKG, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/59	3,132	TRUMPI, STEFAI	TRUMPI, STEFAN	
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		TARA	MAYO-PINNOCK	3671		
۔۔۔ Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this commur Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress	
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come riod for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months natent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply at the will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIO o event, however, may a r nd will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ TI 3)⊡ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filentials action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition on the condition of the co	2b)∏ This action for allowance exc	s non-final. ept for formal matt	· · · · · ·	e merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	laim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/alaim(s) is/alaim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restrict the papers	are withdrawn from				
· · ·	e specification is objected to by th	o Evaminar				
10)∐ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are oplicant may not request that any objected to by the placement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to be a specific to be a specifi	: a) ☐ accepted of ection to the drawing(g the correction is red	s) be held in abeyar quired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/598,132 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (1) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: omitted section

headings and non-idiomatic English. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,132 Page 3

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 through 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: non-idiomatic English. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The prior rejections of claims 1 through 12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been overcome by the claim amendments filed 22 April 2010.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 through 4 and 6 through 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson (U.S. Patent No. 4,432,667 A).

Richardson '667 discloses a method for controlling the propulsion force on a plurality of pipe elements (12-*n*) to produce a longitudinal structure (10) in the earth using a pressing device (i.e., hydraulic rams 60) and deformable fluid-filled expansion elements (22-*n*) arranged in joints of the pipe elements, the longitudinal structure including a header piece (12-1) controlled with a front expansion element (22-1); wherein the method includes the step of filling the expansion elements with a pressure-resistant fluid (col. 7, lines 32 through 35); wherein the expansion

Art Unit: 3671

elements have circular cross sections; wherein use of the expansion elements inherently controls the installation of the pipe elements and affects the quality of the installation.

Richardson '667 does not expressly teach the step of measuring parameters and use of the measured parameter values for controlling the propulsion force. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the method of Richardson '667 such that it would further include the steps of measuring and controlling. Specifically, such modification would have merely amounted to automation of the process and it has been held that broadly providing for the automation of activity to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Venner*, 120 USPO 192.

With specific regard to claim 9, Richardson '667 does not teach use of an alarm.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the prior art method such that it would include an alarm to monitor and indicate potential failure due to exceeded force limits, since the examiner takes Official Notice of the use of such alarms extensively in the art of pipe laying.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 22 April 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the method of Richardson '667 does not require a pressing device. The examiner contends the prior art method requires the use of a pressing device in the form of hydraulic rams (60).

Applicant argues the method of Richardson '667 does not require the step of measuring fluid pressure or joint deformation. The examiner contends the prior art method requires the step of measuring the fluid pressure and calculating the propulsion force as discussed in column 4 at lines 52 through 67. Furthermore, Richardson '667 is concerned with the eccentricity of the pipe elements and discusses control of the same to effect steering as desire in column 7 at lines 1 through 28.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TARA MAYO-PINNOCK whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/598,132 Page 6

Art Unit: 3671

272-6992. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TARA MAYO-PINNOCK/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

tmp

06 July 2010